



eLaw Features

- >80,000 Malaysian Cases
- Federal, State & Municipal Legislation
- Annotated Statutes
- Hansard
- Multi-Journal Case Citator
- Find Overruled Cases
- Industrial Court Awards
- Personal Injury
- Syariah Cases
- Regulatory Guidelines
- Mobile App



TOTAL PRICE RM 4,300

OUR PRICE RM 1,980
1 YEAR SUBSCRIPTION

subscribe online at www.elaw.my

Email: marketing@elaw.my

Tel: +603 4108 3221

Introducing eLaw

Experience the difference today

eLaw.my is Malaysia's largest database of court judgments and legislation, that can be cross-searched and mined by a feature-rich and user friendly engine - clearly the most efficient legal research online collaborative tool for busy legal professionals like you.

A Snapshot of Highlights

eLaw Library represent overall total result, click on any of the tabs to filter result for selected library.

Browse and navigate other options

Advanced search or Citation search

Latest News shows the latest cases and legislation.

Latest Law

Cases

ZULKIFLEE JUSOH lwn. ETIQA TAKAFUL BERHAD & SATU LAGI
Mahkamah Tinggi Malaya Kota Bharu
[2016] 1 MELR 1

Legislation

POST OFFICE SAVINGS BANK ACT 1948 REV
ACT 113

eLaw Library Search Within eLaw Library Latest News

eLaw Library (1545) Cases (1495) Legislation (23) Articles (24) Forms (2) Practice Notes (1)

Dictionary

A person who without lawful excuse makes to another a threat, intending that other would fear it would be carried out, to kill that other or a third p ... [Read more](#)

1495 results found.

PP V. AZILAH HADRI & ANOR ▾
Arifin Zakaria CJ, Richard Malanjum CJSS, Abdull Hamid Embong, Suriyadi Halim Omar, Ahmad Maarop FCJJ
pp v. azilah hadri & anor **criminal** law : penal code - section 302 read with s 34 - **murder** - common intention- appeal against acquittal and discharge of respondents - circumstantial evidence - whether establishing culpability of respondents beyond
Cites: 22 Cases 13 Legislation **Case History** ▾ Cited by 18

4 December 2015
 Court of Appeal Put...

 1 MLRA 126

NAGARAJAN MUNISAMY LWN. PENDAKWA RAYA ▾
Aziah Ali, Ahmadi Asnawi, Abdul Rahman Sebil HHMR
membunuh orang (**murder**) jika perbuatan tersebut terjumlah dalam salah satu daripada kerangka-kerangka (limb) seperti di "envisaged" dalam s 300 (a) atau (b) atau (c) atau (d) atau mana-mana kombinasi daripadanya. seksyen 302 pula adalah hukuman bagi kesalahan me...
Cites: 5 Cases 5 Legislation

26 Oktober 2015
 Mahkamah Rayuan Put...

 1 MLRA 245

HOOI CHUK KWONG V. LIM SAW CHOO (F) ▾
Thomson CJ, Hill J, Smith J
...some degree to **conviction** for **murder** and to hanging, it is possible to think of a great variety off the ordinary rule that in a **criminal** prosecution the onus lies upon the prosecution to prove every... .. line or forfeiture except on **conviction** for an offence, in other words, it can be said at this sta...
Cites: 6 Cases 4 Legislation **Case History** ▾ Cited by 4

8 September 2015
 Court Of Appeal Put...

 1 MLRA 386

Court of Appeal Putrajaya : [2013] 5 MLRA 212
High Court Malaya Shah Alam : [202] 1 MLRH 546

Allow users to see case's history

Search within case judgment by entering any keyword or phrase.

Click to gain access to the provided document tools

Switch view between case Judgement/Headnote

Case Citation

Search Within ☐ Full Judgment ☐ Case Title

Without the word(s)

Legislation Referred:

Judge:

Case Number:

Case:

Case:

Judgment Year(s): to

Case Authority Considered:

Subject Index:

Cases

SUBRAMANIAM GOVINDARAJOO v. PENERUSI, LEMBAGA PENCEGAH JENAYAH & ORS
[2016] 3 MLRH 145

Cites: Cases 9 Legislation 34

High Court Malaya, Ipoh
Hayatul Akmal Abdul Azziz JC
[Judicial Review No: 25-8-03-2015]
28 March 2016

Civil Procedure : Judicial review - Application for - Restrictive order - Non-compliance of Prevention of Crime Act 1959 - Validity of remand order - Whether remand order complied with - Whether appointment of Inquiry Officer authorised - Whether establishment of Prevention of Crime Board proper - Whether copy of decision failed to be served - Whether discrepancy in statement in writing by Inspector and finding of Inquiry Officer rendered detention a nullity

In this application for judicial review, the applicant prayed for the following orders: (a) an order of certiorari and/or declaration to quash the decision of the 1st respondent; and (b) an order of certiorari and/or declaration to quash the decision of the respondents for an order to place the applicant under restricted residence with police supervision pursuant to s 15(1) of Prevention of Crime Act 1959 ("POCA"). The applicant challenged the validity of the said police supervision order and contended that there was non-compliance by the respective respondents concerning not only his arrest and remand but also the subsequent steps in the process which among others led to the making of the police supervision order which the applicant alleged was null and void. The grounds relied on to challenge included: (i) the invalidity of the remand order issued against the applicant; (ii) the non-compliance of the remand order which stated that he was remanded at Balai Polis Bercham; (iii) the unauthorised appointment of the Inquiry Officer; (iv) the failure of the Prevention of Crime Board ("the Board") to comply with s 7B of POCA in respect of its establishment; (v) the non-compliance of s 10(4) of POCA based on the failure of the Board to serve a copy of its decision; and (vi) the discrepancy in the statement in writing by the Inspector and the finding of the Inquiry Officer.


Held (dismissing the application with costs):

(1) The remand order was not an issue to be tried because the leave granted was only confined to the police supervision order by the Board. There was no complaint filed or any appeal made regarding the two remand orders given by the Magistrate and the applicant could not protest detention pursuant to the said remand orders. Furthermore all the necessary requirements in making the application for remand had been complied with and no irregularity in terms of procedure which could taint the legality of the remand order. (paras 20, 21 & 25)


(2) The applicant averred that the log book would show that he was not remanded at Balai Polis Bercham (as per the remand order). The production of the log book was irrelevant. The applicant had never applied for discovery of documents and for the applicant to raise the issue was unfair to the respondents. The evidence remained as per the application, statement, affidavit in support, affidavits in opposition, affidavit in reply and the exhibits produced. Based on the evidence available, the applicant was

Our Features

Search Engine




Judgments Library




- ✓ Easier
- ✓ Smarter
- ✓ Faster Results.

eLaw has more than 80,000 judgments from Federal/ Supreme Court, Court of Appeal, High Court, Industrial Court and Syariah Court, dating back to the 1900s.

Find Overruled Cases




Multi-Journal Case Citator




The relationships between referred cases can be viewed via precedent map diagram or a list — e.g. Followed, referred, distinguished or overruled.

You can extract judgments based on the citations of the various local legal journals.*

Legislation Library



Dictionary/Translator



You can cross-reference & print updated Federal and State Legislation including municipal by-laws and view amendments in a timeline format.

Main legislation are also annotated with explanations, cross-references, and cases.

eLaw has tools such as a law dictionary and a English - Malay translator to assist your research.

Clarification: Please note that eLaw's multi-journal case citator will retrieve the corresponding judgment for you, in the version and format of The Legal Review's publications, with an affixed MLR citation. No other publisher's version of the judgment will be retrieved & exhibited. The printed judgment in pdf from The Legal Review may then be submitted in Court, should you so require.

Please note that The Legal Review Sdn Bhd (is the content provider) and has no other business association with any other publisher.

Start searching today!

www.elaw.my

☐ Salesperson Name ☐ Promotion Code

1. Your Details

NAME

DESIGNATION

COMPANY /
ORGANISATION NAME

ADDRESS

EMAIL

CITY/STATE COUNTRY POSTCODE

MOBILE PHONE FAX

USER DETAILS (Should you require more than 5 accounts, please contact us.)

(i) USERNAME PASSWORD

(ii) USERNAME PASSWORD

(iii) USERNAME PASSWORD

2. Payment Details

Bank Deposit (send proof of payment with this form)

Bank : **Public Bank Berhad**
Account Name : **The Digital Library Sdn Bhd**
Account Number : **3183816310**

Bank Cheque No.

*Please fax us a copy of the Bank-In Slip, if banked directly into our account above.

*Please note that all payments for subscriptions are non-refundable. Thank you.

3. Subscription details

Total Subscription RM

Subscription date from to

☐ We hereby agree to the terms of the subscription.

Signature

Name

Company Chop

The Digital Library Sdn. Bhd. 201301025776 (1055606-P)

SST Reg No: W10-1902-32000147

No 1-2, Jalan PJU 8/5D, Damansara Perdana, 47820 Petaling Jaya, Selangor

Tel: +603 4108 3221

Fax: +603 4108 3337

Website: www.elaw.my